The Condensation Reaction between Sulfamide and Monoketones

Akira Ouchi and Therald Moeller

W. A. Noyes Laboratory of Chemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

Received December 31, 1963

Sulfamide and various monoketones condense in the presence of hydrogen chloride to yield substituted cyclic thiadiazine 1,1-dioxides. Isobutyraldehyde undergoes a comparable reaction. Infrared and proton nuclear magnetic resonance data have been used to establish the existence of the ring system and to suggest the natures of the various substituted groups. The reaction product obtained from 2-butanone has been separated into two geometrical isomers.

The direct reactions between sulfamide and carbonyl groups have received comparatively little attention. Prior to 1940,¹ only the benzal,² methylol,³ and dixanthyl⁴ derivatives had been described. More recently, Paquin⁵ pointed out analogies between the reaction of formaldehyde with sulfamide and with urea, and Degering and Wilson⁶ obtained a cyclic product (A) from the condensation of sulfamide with 2,4-pentanedione. A number of closely related compounds (B) have been obtained from sulfamyl chloride.7 Other cyclic structures have been obtained from reactions involving crotonaldehyde,⁸ malonic acid dichloride,⁹ and anthraquinone.⁹ The ring system formed with crotonaldehyde probably differs from those described herein (C) only in having hydrogen atoms at the R₂, R₃, R₄, and R_5 positions.



Interestingly enough, reactions between sulfamide and monoketones have not been reported, although the formation of cyclic structures as a consequence of the formally analogous reactions of urea with ketones¹⁰ or guanidine with mesityl oxide¹¹ suggests that they are reasonable. During a study of the interactions of sulfamide with metal ions, a reaction involving copper (II) chloride in acetone was found to yield a white product. This substance proved to be a condensation product of an acid-catalyzed reaction between sulfamide and acetone. Subsequent study has shown that hydrogen chloride is a more effective catalyst both for this reaction and for comparable reactions with 2-butanone, 2-pentanone, 3-pentanone, and acetophenone. On the other hand, self-condensation in the presence of hydrogen chloride prevented similar reactions with 3-methyl-2-butanone and 4-methyl-2-pentanone. Isobutyraldehyde, however, also gave a condensation product.

(1) L. F. Audrieth, M. Sveda, H. H. Sisler, and M. J. Butler, Chem. Rev., 26, 49 (1940).

- W. Traube and E. Reubke, Ber., 56, 1656 (1923).
 F. C. Wood and A. E. Battye, J. Soc. Chem. Ind., 52, 346T (1933).
- (4) F. C. Wood, Nature, 136, 837 (1935).
- (5) A. M. Paquin, Angew. Chem., 60A, 316 (1948).
- (6) E. F. Degering and J. E. Wilson, J. Org. Chem., 17, 339 (1952).
- (7) E. Cohen and B. Klarberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 1994 (1962).
- A. M. Paquin, Kunstoffe, 37, 165 (1947).
- (9) I. G. Farbenindustrie, A.-G. (G. Kränzlein and K. Renn, investors), German Patent 673,389 (March 24, 1939); Chem. Abstr., 33, 4436 (1939). (10) K. Folkers and T. B. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 55, 3361 (1933).
- (11) W. Traube and R. Schwarz, Ber., 32, 3163 (1899).

The compounds obtained are listed in Table I, together with information on their syntheses and properties. All are white crystalline solids that dissolve readily in acetone, pyridine, ethyl acetate, and acetonitrile; they dissolve less readily in chloroform, with difficulty in water, and not at all in petroleum ether. They are best recrystallized from a mixture of ethyl acetate and petroleum ether (b.p. $80-110^{\circ}$).

Unlike the condensation product from sulfamide and 2,4-pentanedione,⁶ which is a strong acid in aqueous solution, these compounds (in ca. $2 \times 10^{-2} M$ solution) are essentially neutral $(pK_a \ ca. 9.8 \ for \ compound \ I,$ Table I). In alkaline solution, each of these compounds reduces the diamminesilver(I) ion to the free metal.

It is not unreasonable to assume, in the light of experimental observation that each condensation requires 2 moles of ketone to 1 mole of sulfamide, that the products have structure C. Such a structure would



would permit, via geometrical isomerism, the existence of two 2-butanone derivatives as indicated in Table I, but its validity could be better established from physical data.

The infrared spectra (600–4000 cm.⁻¹) of all the compounds are closely similar (Table II), suggesting that the same structural type is characteristic of all of them. The following features are of significance: (1) the N-H stretching frequency at ca. 3250 cm.^{-1} ; (2) the C-H stretching frequency at ca. 2900-3000 cm.⁻¹; (3) the C=N stretching frequency at ca. 1620 cm.⁻¹ (although this band appears at a slightly lower frequency than is generally found, this may be a consequence of the presence of this bond in a cyclic structure); (4) the S=O stretching frequencies at 1310-1330 and 1150-1180 cm. $^{-1}$; (5) numerous additional absorptions in the 700–1500-cm.⁻¹ region that appear to reflect ring deformation and skeletal vibrations. Each pattern is characteristic, but all are relatively similar. These data indicate, of course, the presence of certain groupings, but are not completely structurally definitive.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra of 10% solutions in deuteriochloroform are given in Fig. 1. The letter designations refer to the interpretations summarized in structural formulas I-VII. For compound I, the ratio of areas under the resonance peaks is

TABLE I PROPERTIES AND ANALYSES OF CONDENSATION PRODUCTS

				Molecular				Analyses, %					
Empirical	Carbonyl compound	Yield,	$M.p.,^{b}$	∕−wei	ght ^c	—Car	·bon	—Hydr	ogen-	-Nitro	ogen—	-Sulf	fur
formula	used	%	°C.	Calcd.	Found	Calcd.	Found	Caled.	Found	Caled.	Found	Calcd.	Found
$\mathrm{C_8H_{12}N_2O_2S}$	Acetone	66.5	142	176	180	40.90	41.29	6.87	6.89	15.90	15.60	18.17	17.94
$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_2S}$	Acetophenone	20.8	137	300	312	64.00	63.51	5.33	5.33	9.33	9.55		
$C_8H_{16}N_2O_2S$	2-Butanone	40.7	130	204	197	47.06	47.07	7.84	7.74	13.72	13.43	15.69	16.10
$C_8H_{16}N_2O_2S$	2-Butanone	1.6	158	204	204	47.06	47.07	7.84	7.88	13.72	13.34	15.69	15.80
$C_{10}H_{20}N_2O_2S$	3-Pentanone	24.8	117	232	232	51.72	52.02	8.62	8.71	12.07	11.72		
$C_{10}H_{20}N_2O_2S$	2-Pentanone	33.1	78	232	238	51.72	51.88	8.62	8.68	12.07	11.80		
$\mathrm{C}_8\mathrm{H}_{16}\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}_2\mathrm{S}$	Isobutyraldehyde	42.8	193	204		47.06	47.37	7.84	7.94	13.72	13.17	15.69	15.45
	Empirical formula CsH12N2028 C16H16N2028 CsH16N2028 CsH16N2028 C10H20N2028 C10H20N2028 CsH16N2028 CsH16N2028	Empirical formulaCarbonyl compound usedCsH12N2O2SAcetoneC18H16N2O2SAcetophenoneC18H16N2O2S2-ButanoneCsH12N2O2S2-ButanoneCsH12N2O2S3-PentanoneC10H20N2O2S3-PentanoneCsH12N2O2S2-PentanoneCsH12N2O2S1-Sobutyraldehyde	Empirical formulaCarbonyl compound usedYield, $\%$ $C_8H_{12}N_2O_2S$ Acetone66.5 $C_1cH_{16}N_2O_2S$ Acetophenone20.8 $C_8H_{16}N_2O_2S$ 2-Butanone40.7 $C_8H_{12}N_2O_2S$ 2-Butanone-1.6 $C_{10}H_{22}N_2O_2S$ 3-Pentanone24.8 $C_0H_{20}N_2O_2S$ 2-Pentanone33.1 $C_8H_{16}N_2O_2S$ Isobutyraldehyde42.8	Empirical formula Carbonyl compound used Yield, % M.p., b $C_8H_{12}N_2O_2S$ Acetone 66.5 142 $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_2S$ Acetophenone 20.8 137 $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_2S$ 2-Butanone 40.7 130 $C_8H_{16}N_2O_2S$ 2-Butanone 41.6 158 $C_10H_{20}N_2O_2S$ 3-Pentanone 24.8 117 $C_{10}H_{20}N_2O_2S$ 2-Pentanone 33.1 78 $C_8H_{16}N_2O_2S$ Isobutyraldehyde 42.8 193	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				

^a Designation uniform throughout. ^b Uncorrected. ^c In acetone.

TABLE II INFRARED SPECTRA OF CONDENSATION PRODUCTS

				Erequency c	m _l
Compound	N-H	C-H	C=N	S==0	Others ^a
I	3200	2950	1628	1333	1465, 1427, 1412, 1370
				1175, 1163	1270, 1210
					988, 957, 915, 880, 814, 788, 710
II	3250	3080	1605	1330	1450, 1423, 1410, 1380, 1360
		3000	1598	1170, 1155	1258
			1568		1095, 1025, 950, 910, 850, 798, 760, 695
III	3260	2960	1620	1320	1460, 1412, 1385, 1372
				1170	1220, 1197
					1090, 1078, 990, 950, 900, 818, 795, 763, 675
IV	3260	2960	1620	1320	1460, 1412, 1385, 1370
				1170	1220, 1195
					1090, 1080, 990, 948, 895, 820, 798, 762, 675
v	3280	2990	1620	1325	1462, 1418, 1360
				1150	1215, 1180
					1098, 1090, 980, 960, 890, 805, 787, 748, 667
VI	3210	2960	1623	1322	1460, 1425, 1405, 1388, 1360
		2880		1165	1260
					1090, 1038, 985, 965, 935, 890, 795, 748, 715
VII	3280	2980	1615	1350	1470, 1430, 1395, 1380
				1180	1305 1200 1135

^a Major peaks only.





1035, 1015, 1000, 950, 933, 905, 795, 740,695

a:b:c:d = 1:2:3:6. The assigned structure (I) is thus a reasonable one, insofar as the types of protons present are concerned. Correspondingly, the ratio of the areas for compound II is a:b:c = 1:2:3, in agreement with the assignments indicated for the nonphenyl protons in structure II. In addition, two broad peaks appear in the *ca*. 1.8-2.9-p.p.m. region. These represent, reasonably, proton resonances associated with the two phenyl groups (*d* and *e*). Inasmuch as resonance *a*

(compounds I-VI inclusive) disappears both when a pyridine solution is used and when an acetonitrile solution of a compound is treated with a drop of deuterium oxide, the validity of associating it with an N-H proton is supported.

Assignment of structures III and IV to the isomeric products resulting from reaction with 2-butanone has been effected in the same general fashion. Structures V and VI for the 3- and 2-pentanone products, respectively, are again reasonable interpretations of the nuclear magnetic resonance spectra.

The spectrum of compound VII differs significantly from the other spectra, particularly in the presence of a new peak at ca. 2.38 p.p.m. This peak is believed to indicate the presence of *a*-type protons (structure VII). That peak b is a consequence of the presence of N-H protons is suggested by its disappearance, as a consequence of H-D exchange, upon addition of deuterium oxide. The area under peak f is less than the theoretical value, and peak e is split into two components. Ring or side-chain interactions may be the cause of these departures. Hydrolytic decomposition of the compound in aqueous or ethanolic solution containing hydrogen chloride yielded ammonium sulfate (40%) yield, determined as barium sulfate) and mesityl oxide (20% yield, determined as 1-(4-nitro-phenyl)-3,5,5trimethylpyrazoline¹²).

Compound I was also synthesized, in almost the same yields (60-65%) as from the acetone-sulfamide reaction, by the hydrogen chloride catalyzed reaction of sulfamide with either mesityl oxide or diacetone alcohol.

Experimental

3,3,5-Trimethyl-1,2,6,2H-thiadiazine 1,1-Dioxide.—Five grams (0.052 mole) of sulfamide¹³ and 30 ml. (*ca.* 0.41 mole)¹⁴ of acetone were mixed in a standard-taper, 100-ml., round-bottomed flask and the mixture was treated with a stream of dry hydrogen chloride for 5 min. The mixture was then heated under a reflux condenser for 6 hr. at 70° .¹⁶ The remaining acetone was removed *in vacuo* at 50–60°, and the residue was treated with chloroform. After filtration to remove unchanged sulfamide, the chloroform was removed *in vacuo* at 50–60°, and the product was recrystallized two times from a 1:1 mixture of acetone and petroleum ether (b.p. 80–110°). It was dried at room temperature under reduced pressure.

Other products were obtained similarly with the ketone or isobutyral dehyde in at least fourfold excess. ^6 Compounds III

(12) K. von Auwers and A. Kreuder, Ber., 58, 1974 (1925).

(13) Obtained from Allied Chemical Corp., General Chemical Division,
 Morristown, N. J. and had m.p. 93°, after recrystallization from ethanol.
 (14) A substantial excess of acetone is essential for a good yield.

(15) Higher temperatures, prolonged heating, or insufficient acetone yields a black, oily by-product that is removed only with difficulty by means of activated charcoal. It is better to stop the reaction before the system becomes black and turbid.

(16) Up to one-half of the ketone may be substituted by ethanol without affecting the yield.



Fig. 1.—Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra.

and IV were separated from each other by crystallization from ethyl acetate, compound IV being much less soluble at lower temperatures. Compounds III-VII were all recrystallized conveniently from ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (b.p. $80-110^\circ$) mixtures. These compounds were dried *in vacuo* at room temperature.

Spectra.—Infrared spectra were obtained by the potassium bromide disk procedure with a Perkin-Elmer Model 21 instrument. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained with a Varian Model A-60 instrument.

Acknowledgment.—Support received for this investigation under Contract DA-31-124-ARO(D)-35 is gratefully acknowledged, as are also useful discussions by Dr. P. Nannelli and Dr. T. Fujii.